Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/764,721	FUKUNAGA, MASAMI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edwin A. León	2833
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Edwin A. León.	(3)	
(2) Willaim Androlia.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 March 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>3 and 5</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Fukunaga (U.S. Patent No. 5,669,780).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Attorney presented arguments against the applied Fukunaga reference. It was agreed that the Fukunaga reference does not show the slide member as described by Applicant's claims. The Examiner agreed to issue a further action for the application.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	What was a second	h. 2
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required